

**REMARKS**

These remarks are responsive to the Office Action dated June 16, 2004, which required a two-way restriction requirement between Invention I (claims 1, 3, 7 and 9) and Invention II (claims 1-2, 4-8 and 10-12). Applicants do hereby elect Invention II for further prosecution. Accordingly, the claim listing above shows Claims 3 and 9 as being withdrawn. Applicants understand that a further election may be required should any of the generic claims 1-2 and 7-8 not finally be held allowable. Applicants interpreted the language of the Office Action as not requiring such a further election at this time. If this interpretation is incorrect, please inform the undersigned attorney of record.

In the event that the Examiner finds remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney.

Dated this 12<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,



ADRIAN J. LEE  
Registration No. 42,785  
Attorney for Applicant  
Customer No. 022913

AJL:ds  
DS0000002234V001